

On The Existence Of Category Bicompletions

Brian J. DAY

May 4, 2009.

Abstract: A completeness conjecture is advanced concerning the free small-colimit completion $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ of a (possibly large) category \mathcal{A} . The conjecture is based on the existence of a small generating-cogenerating set of objects in \mathcal{A} . We sketch how the validity of the result would lead to the existence of an Isbell-Lambek bicompletion $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ of such an \mathcal{A} , without a “change-of-universe” procedure being necessary to describe or discuss the bicompletion

All categories, functors, and natural transformations, etc., shall be relative to a basic complete and cocomplete symmetric monoidal closed category \mathcal{V} with all intersections of subobjects. A tentative conjecture, based partly on the results of [3], is that if \mathcal{A} is a (large) category containing a small generating and cogenerating set of objects, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ (which is the free small-colimit completion of \mathcal{A} with respect to \mathcal{V}) is not only cocomplete (as is well known), but also complete with all intersections of subobjects.

If this conjecture is true, then one can establish the existence of a resulting “Isbell-Lambek” bicompletion of such an \mathcal{A} , along the lines of [1] §4, using the Yoneda embedding $Y : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. This proposed bicompletion, denoted here by $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$, has the same “size” as \mathcal{A} and is, roughly speaking, the (replete) closure in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$, under both iterated limits and intersections of subobjects, of the class (i.e. large set) of all representable functors from \mathcal{A}^{op} to \mathcal{V} .

More precisely, one can construct $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ directly using the Isbell-conjugacy adjunction

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Lan}_Y(Z)} \\ \xleftarrow{R} \end{array} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}$$

whose existence (see [3] §9) follows from the conjectured completeness of both $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$, and where $Z : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}$ is the dual of the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$. Thus we proceed by factoring the left adjoint $\text{Lan}_Y(Z)$ as a reflection followed by a conservative left adjoint

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Lan}_Y(Z)} \\ \xleftarrow{R} \end{array} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} \\ \downarrow \cup & \nearrow \text{cons} & \\ \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) & & \end{array} .$$

Such a factorization exists by [1] Theorem 2.1 and is essentially unique by [1] Proposition 5.1. Moreover, the induced full embedding

$$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$$

then preserves any small limit or small colimit that already exists in \mathcal{A} .

One important consequence is that various results from [2] on monoidal biclosed completion of categories can be accordingly revamped using such a bi-completion $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$; see also [3] §7, which describes some examples where $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is monoidal or monoidal biclosed. Note that here especially one could conveniently avoid the awkward “change-of- \mathcal{V} -universe” procedure employed in [2].

References.

- [1] B. J. Day, “On Adjoint-Function Factorization”,
Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 420 (Springer-Verlag 1974), Pg. 1-19.
- [2] B. J. Day, “On Closed Categories Of Functors II”,
Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 420 (Springer-Verlag 1974), Pg. 20-54.
- [3] B. J. Day and S. Lack, “Limits Of Small Functors”
J. Pure Appl. Alg., 210 (2007), Pg. 651-663.

Mathematics Dept., Faculty of Science, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia.

Any replies are welcome through Tom Booker (thomas.booker@students.mq.edu.au),
who kindly typed the manuscript.